Comprehensive Journal of Science
Volume (10), Issue (39), (March, 2026)
ISSN: 3014-6266

مجلة العلوم الشاملة
المجلد (10) العدد 39 مارس 2026
ردمد: 3014-6266

The Role of Environmental Accounting in Measuring and Managing Resource Flows and Achieving Sustainability
(A Modern and Future Perspective Towards a Circular Economy in Libya)

RIYAD FARAJ AHMED GHANIA
Email: riadaldoukale@gmail.com
KHAYRI MANSOUR ALI RAMADHAN
khraiykhraiy@gmail.com
Higher Institute of Sciences and Technology – Azizia

دور المحاسبة البيئية في قياس وإدارة تدفقات الموارد وتحقيق الاستدامة
(منظور حديث ومستقبلي نحو اقتصاد دائري في ليبيا)

رياض فرج أحمد غنية
خيري منصور علي رمضان
المعهد العالي للعلوم والتقنية ـ العزيزية

تاريخ الاستلام:2026/01/21 –تاريخ المراجعة:2026/02/20– تاريخ القبول: 2026/03/01– تاريخ للنشر:30 /03/ 2026

ABSTRACT
This research explores the pivotal role that environmental accounting plays in measuring and managing resource flows as a fundamental requirement for achieving sustainability and transitioning towards a circular economic model in Libya. Given the escalating environmental challenges and economic pressures facing developing nations, there is an urgent need to develop sophisticated accounting systems that transcend the traditional boundaries of financial accounting. The study focuses on developing a proposed accounting framework that seamlessly integrates circular economy principles with environmental accounting tools, thereby enabling Libyan companies to accurately measure resource efficiency, significantly reduce waste generation, and tangibly achieve sustainable development objectives. Through a comprehensive and critical review of contemporary scientific literature alongside an examination of global best practices, this paper presents profound practical insights into how environmental accounting can serve as a strategic transformative tool for achieving sustainability within the specific economic and institutional context of Libya.
Keywords: Environmental Accounting, Circular Economy, Resource Flow Management, Sustainability, Libya, Environmental Costs.

الملخص
يسعى هذا البحث إلى استكشاف الدور الحاسم الذي تلعبه المحاسبة البيئية في قياس وإدارة تدفقات الموارد كمتطلب أساسي لتحقيق الاستدامة والانتقال نحو نموذج اقتصادي دائري في ليبيا.في ظل التحديات البيئية المتزايدة والضغوط الاقتصادية التي تواجه الدول النامية، تبرز الحاجة الملحة لتطوير أنظمة محاسبية متطورة تتجاوز الحدود التقليدية للمحاسبة المالية التي طالما تجاهلت التكاليف البيئية الخفية ، تركز الدراسة على تطوير إطار محاسبي مقترح يدمج مبادئ الاقتصاد الدائري مع أدوات المحاسبة البيئية، مما يمكّن الشركات الليبية من قياس كفاءة استخدام الموارد بدقة، وتقليل حجم النفايات المنتجة، وتحقيق أهداف التنمية المستدامة بشكل ملموس وقابل للقياس.من خلال المراجعة النقدية الشاملة للأدبيات العلمية الحديثة ودراسة الممارسات العالمية الرائدة، تقدم الورقة رؤى عملية معمقة حول الكيفية التي يمكن من خلالها للمحاسبة البيئية أن تكون أداة تحويلية استراتيجية لتحقيق الاستدامة في السياق الاقتصادي والمؤسسي الليبي.
الكلمات المفتاحية: المحاسبة البيئية، الاقتصاد الدائري، إدارة تدفقات الموارد، الاستدامة، ليبيا، التكاليف البيئية.

1. INTRODUCTION
The contemporary global economy finds itself at a critical crossroads, facing an unprecedented paradox that challenges the very foundations of modern industrial development. While technological advancement and industrial growth have undeniably lifted millions from poverty and driven remarkable improvements in living standards

over the past century, they have simultaneously created and entrenched an unsustainable linear economic model. This dominant paradigm, fundamentally characterized by the relentless extraction of finite natural resources, their rapid transformation into consumer products, and their eventual disposal as waste, has become increasingly untenable. The mounting evidence of severe environmental degradation, accelerating climate change, and looming resource scarcity has made it abundantly clear that the traditional "take-make-dispose" approach cannot support long-term global prosperity.

For developing nations like Libya, which possess substantial natural resources but concurrently face significant institutional, infrastructural, and developmental challenges, this dilemma is particularly acute and demands immediate strategic attention. Libya's economic trajectory has historically been overwhelmingly dominated by oil and gas extraction, an industry that perhaps more than any other epitomizes the linear economic model in its purest form. The nation's profound reliance on this single extractive sector has generated substantial wealth but has also created severe economic vulnerabilities and profound environmental consequences. The inherent volatility of global energy markets, coupled with the global transition towards renewable energy sources, has prompted forward-thinking policymakers and economic strategists to urgently explore alternative development pathways.

Simultaneously, Libya's increasing engagement with international environmental agreements and its commitment to global sustainable development goals have created a compelling, unavoidable need to reconcile robust economic development with genuine environmental stewardship. It is precisely within this complex, transitional context that the concept of the circular economy emerges not merely as a noble environmental imperative, but as a crucial strategic economic opportunity capable of redefining the nation's future prosperity. The circular economy represents a fundamental and radical reimagining of how production and consumption systems should operate in a resource-constrained world. Rather than viewing natural resources as infinite commodities to be exploited and waste as an inevitable, acceptable byproduct of economic activity, the circular economy seeks to maintain materials, components, and products in use for as long as possible.

However, this profound transition cannot be achieved through technological innovation or policy declarations alone. The successful implementation of circular economy principles requires robust, sophisticated measurement systems that can accurately quantify physical resource flows, comprehensively track environmental impacts, and clearly demonstrate the tangible economic value of circular practices to business leaders and investors. This critical measurement and valuation gap is precisely where environmental accounting becomes an indispensable tool for systemic transformation. Environmental accounting, frequently referred to in organizational contexts as environmental management accounting, represents a vital evolution in professional accounting practice that deliberately extends beyond the narrow traditional metrics of financial performance to explicitly incorporate the physical and environmental dimensions of organizational operations.

The profound significance of this research lies in its targeted attempt to bridge a critical and persistent gap in the academic literature and professional practice. While substantial scholarship currently exists exploring both the circular economy and environmental accounting as individual, isolated domains, relatively limited academic attention has been directed toward their systematic integration, particularly within the specific, challenging context of developing economies in the Middle East and North Africa region. This paper rigorously seeks to address these notable gaps by developing a comprehensive,

theoretically grounded yet practically applicable framework that clearly demonstrates how environmental accounting can effectively operationalize circular economy principles in Libya. In doing so, this research aims to contribute significantly to both the advancement of academic knowledge in the field of sustainability accounting and the provision of practical, actionable policy guidance for national economic transformation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The conceptual foundations of the circular economy, while having gained remarkable prominence and policy traction in recent years, possess deep intellectual roots extending back several decades across multiple disciplines. The foundational and highly influential work of the Ellen MacArthur Foundation in the early 2010s served as a powerful catalyst for the widespread global adoption of the term, effectively framing the circular economy as a comprehensive, systems-level approach explicitly designed to address the profound limitations of the traditional linear industrial model. However, the intellectual antecedents of this circular thinking can be traced clearly to earlier, pioneering frameworks including industrial ecology, which studies material and energy flows through industrial systems; cradle-to-cradle design, which advocates for products to be designed from the outset for continuous recovery; and regenerative economics, which emphasizes the restoration of natural capital.

At its fundamental core, the circular economy is predicated on three essential, interconnected principles that distinguish it from mere incremental sustainability improvements. First, it emphasizes the absolute necessity of designing out waste and pollution from the very inception of a product or process, advancing the profound notion that waste is not an inevitable consequence of production but rather a fundamental failure of design. Second, it strongly advocates for keeping products and materials in continuous use at their highest possible value and utility for as long as possible, achieved through diverse strategies including comprehensive remanufacturing, widespread reuse, and efficient recycling systems. Third, it explicitly recognizes the regeneration of natural systems as absolutely essential to long-term economic prosperity, moving the discourse beyond the concept of mere sustainability to the active, intentional restoration and enhancement of environmental capital.

The definition propagated by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation has become the widely accepted global standard, describing the circular economy as an industrial system that is restorative or regenerative by intention and design. This comprehensive definition replaces the traditional 'end-of-life' concept with restoration, advocates a definitive shift towards the use of renewable energy sources, demands the elimination of toxic chemicals which inherently impair reuse and recycling, and aims for the complete elimination of waste through the superior, intentional design of materials, products, systems, and entirely new business models. Operationally, the circular economy functions through multiple complex, interconnected loops that must be carefully managed and measured. The technical cycle focuses on maintaining synthetic and manufactured materials in continuous circulation through rigorous processes of maintenance, reuse, refurbishment, and ultimately recycling. Conversely, the biological cycle ensures that organic materials are safely returned to the biosphere, where they can effectively regenerate natural capital and support future growth.

For developing economies navigating the complexities of the twenty-first century, the circular economy presents a unique landscape characterized by both unprecedented opportunities and formidable challenges. On one hand, it offers a compelling alternative pathway to economic development that fundamentally does not require the same massive, unsustainable resource intensity that characterized the historical development

trajectory of advanced industrialized nations. On the other hand, successfully implementing functional circular systems requires significant, sustained upfront investment in modern physical infrastructure, advanced technological capabilities, and robust institutional capacity. Libya, characterized by its heavily oil-dependent economy and its currently evolving, emerging institutional frameworks, faces precisely these acute tensions, requiring careful strategic navigation to harness the benefits of circularity while managing the transitional challenges.

Environmental accounting represents a highly significant and necessary evolution in the theory and practice of management accounting. Emerging initially in the 1990s as forward-thinking practitioners and academic scholars increasingly recognized the severe limitations of conventional accounting systems in capturing and communicating the environmental dimensions of organizational operations, environmental accounting has since developed into a highly sophisticated, multifaceted discipline encompassing multiple distinct methodologies and practical applications. The United Nations has played an instrumental and leading role in developing standardized, internationally recognized frameworks for environmental accounting at the macroeconomic level. The System of Environmental-Economic Accounting, formally adopted by the United Nations Statistical Commission as the premier international statistical standard, provides a highly comprehensive and rigorous framework for the systematic integration of environmental and economic data.

At the specific organizational level, Environmental Management Accounting has firmly emerged as the primary, most widely adopted framework for internal decision-making. Environmental Management Accounting is formally defined as the systematic identification, collection, estimation, analysis, internal reporting, and strategic use of both financial and non-financial information pertaining specifically to the flows of materials, energy, and waste within an organization. Unlike traditional financial accounting, which focuses almost exclusively on monetary transactions and historical financial performance, Environmental Management Accounting explicitly and deliberately incorporates physical flow data, tracking exact quantities of materials, energy consumption, and waste generation measured in objective physical units such as kilograms, liters, or kilowatt-hours.

Material Flow Cost Accounting represents a particularly valuable and highly practical subset of Environmental Management Accounting methodologies. Developed initially in Japan and subsequently standardized globally through the ISO 14052 framework, Material Flow Cost Accounting meticulously traces the complex flows of materials through an organization's entire production system, rigorously quantifying both the physical quantity and the exact financial cost of materials at every single stage of the process. By making the true economic cost of material losses and waste highly visible to management, Material Flow Cost Accounting creates powerful, immediate financial incentives for aggressive waste reduction and improved resource efficiency. Crucially, this methodology consistently demonstrates that waste reduction and cost reduction are very frequently perfectly aligned objectives, a profound finding that effectively undermines the persistent, false dichotomy between environmental protection and corporate economic performance.

Life Cycle Assessment provides another absolutely critical tool within the broader environmental accounting toolkit. Life Cycle Assessment rigorously quantifies the total environmental impacts of a specific product or service across its entire life cycle, stretching from the initial extraction of raw materials, through the complex stages of manufacturing and distribution, to the actual use phase, and finally encompassing end-

of-life management and disposal. By clearly revealing the complete, comprehensive environmental footprint of products, Life Cycle Assessment enables organizations to accurately identify precisely where environmental improvements will be most impactful and cost-effective. Environmental cost accounting significantly extends the boundaries of traditional management accounting by explicitly and systematically incorporating environmental costs directly into routine organizational decision-making processes.

While environmental accounting and the circular economy have historically developed as somewhat parallel, distinct streams of academic thought and professional practice, their systematic integration is now increasingly recognized by leading scholars and practitioners as absolutely essential for the successful operationalization of circular economy principles. Recent advanced scholarship has begun to explore this critical integration explicitly and in profound detail. Research focusing on the dynamic organizational capabilities required for circular accounting clearly demonstrates how established environmental accounting practices can be strategically adapted to measure, manage, and accelerate the complex transition to circular business models. Organizations that successfully implement circular economy practices almost universally develop significantly enhanced environmental accounting capabilities, using these advanced accounting tools to systematically identify hidden opportunities for material recovery, continuously optimize product design for circularity, and clearly demonstrate the substantial economic benefits of their circular strategies to internal management and external investors.

Understanding Libya's highly specific national context is absolutely essential for accurately assessing the true feasibility and practical relevance of integrating environmental accounting and circular economy principles within its borders. Libya's economy has been historically and overwhelmingly dominated by oil and gas production, a sector which accounts for the vast majority of export revenues and represents the primary, indispensable source of government income. This extreme, structural dependence on hydrocarbon exports creates a situation of profound dual vulnerability, exposing the nation simultaneously to severe economic risks associated with global market fluctuations and significant environmental challenges inherent in extractive industries. The dominant oil and gas sector generates substantial and often poorly quantified environmental impacts, consuming vast, often unsustainable quantities of scarce water resources, generating significant volumes of hazardous and toxic waste, and contributing substantially to national greenhouse gas emissions.

Beyond the massive extractive sector, Libya faces a multitude of significant, compounding environmental challenges directly related to severe water scarcity, advancing desertification, and highly inadequate municipal waste management. The country's rapid and often unplanned urbanization, particularly concentrated in densely populated coastal cities, has created mounting, sometimes overwhelming pressures on fragile municipal waste management systems. Current waste management practices across the nation remain predominantly and stubbornly linear, characterized by extremely limited formal recycling infrastructure and a heavy, problematic reliance on environmentally damaging landfilling and unregulated open dumping. Libya's current institutional capacity for comprehensive environmental management and sophisticated accounting remains significantly underdeveloped. While the country has indeed adopted various pieces of environmental legislation over the years and has formally committed to numerous international environmental agreements, the actual practical capacity for implementation, monitoring, and enforcement remains severely limited.

Despite these challenges, recent and significant policy developments strongly suggest a growing, high-level recognition of the absolute necessity for both deep economic diversification and genuine environmental sustainability. Libya's formal adoption of a comprehensive Green and Circular Economy Policy Framework represents a highly significant, watershed commitment to circular economy principles at the highest national level. This forward-looking policy framework creates a crucial, highly supportive enabling environment for the future development and implementation of the sophisticated environmental accounting systems that will be absolutely necessary to successfully operationalize and monitor the nation's ambitious circular economy objectives.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This comprehensive research deliberately employs a robust mixed-methods approach that thoughtfully combines rigorous qualitative literature analysis with the careful quantitative assessment of available environmental and economic data. The methodological framework has been specifically and carefully designed to comprehensively address the core research questions while remaining highly practical and feasible within the acknowledged constraints of currently available data and the specific institutional capacity limitations present in Libya. This balanced approach ensures that the research findings are both theoretically sound and practically applicable to the specific national context under investigation.

The foundational research is firmly grounded in a critical realist epistemology, a philosophical stance which explicitly recognizes both the objective, physical reality of complex environmental systems and the inherently socially constructed nature of economic systems, accounting frameworks, and national institutions. This sophisticated philosophical orientation fundamentally acknowledges that environmental accounting systems are never merely neutral, objective technical tools for measuring an independent reality, but are rather complex social constructs that inevitably reflect particular organizational values, economic priorities, and institutional interests. Consequently, the successful development of environmental accounting systems that are truly appropriate for Libya must be deeply grounded in a comprehensive understanding of both the rigorous technical requirements for accurate physical measurement and the complex institutional, cultural, and political context within which these new systems will ultimately operate.

The comprehensive literature review employs highly systematic, rigorous search strategies across multiple premier academic databases, ensuring a thorough capture of relevant global scholarship. Search strategies utilize complex combinations of targeted keywords focusing on the intersection of circular economy principles, advanced environmental accounting methodologies, and sustainable resource management, with specific geographic filters applied to capture both leading global literature and studies specifically focused on the unique challenges of Libya and the broader Middle East and North Africa region. The review intentionally prioritizes high-quality, peer-reviewed journal articles published within the past decade, placing particular emphasis on the most recent publications to accurately reflect the rapid, ongoing evolution of both circular economy theory and environmental accounting practice.

The crucial process of developing the proposed environmental accounting framework for circular economy implementation in Libya is conducted through a meticulous process of comparative international analysis and careful contextual adaptation. The resulting framework consciously builds upon proven, existing environmental accounting methodologies, particularly drawing heavily from the established successes of Material

Flow Cost Accounting and comprehensive Life Cycle Assessment. However, it deliberately and carefully adapts these sophisticated approaches to accurately reflect Libya's highly specific economic structure, its current institutional capacity constraints, and its newly established national policy objectives regarding sustainable development and economic diversification. The framework development process involves several distinct, sequential stages of analysis and synthesis, beginning with the rigorous analysis of existing accounting frameworks successfully deployed in both developed and developing economies to identify universally applicable best practices and crucial lessons learned from past implementation efforts.

4. RESULTS

The comprehensive research findings clearly reveal that formal environmental accounting practices currently remain in a highly nascent, underdeveloped state across the vast majority of the Libyan economy. While it is true that some large organizations, particularly those operating within the dominant oil and gas sector alongside various international companies maintaining operations in Libya, have successfully implemented basic environmental management systems, the presence of formal, rigorous environmental accounting systems remains extremely limited. The sophisticated practice of environmental cost accounting is rarely observed in any systematic manner, and the critical tracking of physical material flows remains largely informal, inconsistent, and disconnected from core financial accounting systems in most domestic organizations.

This widespread, limited adoption of environmental accounting practices reflects a complex interplay of multiple structural and institutional factors unique to the Libyan context. Primarily, the fundamental institutional capacity for sophisticated environmental management remains significantly underdeveloped across both the public and private sectors. Many Libyan organizations simply lack the specialized technical expertise, the trained personnel, and the necessary physical measurement infrastructure required to successfully implement and maintain robust environmental accounting systems. Furthermore, the current regulatory environment provides very limited external motivation; unlike many developed economies where environmental accounting and reporting are strictly mandated by regulation or heavily demanded by institutional investors, Libya has not yet established comprehensive, mandatory environmental accounting requirements that would force widespread corporate compliance.

A detailed sectoral analysis reveals highly significant variation across different industries regarding both their inherent potential for beneficial circular economy implementation and the specific barriers they face in adopting these practices. The waste management and recycling sector currently represents the most advanced segment of the economy in terms of practical circular economy implementation, with several organizations already actively engaged in systematic waste collection, sorting, and valuable material recovery activities. However, even within this relatively advanced sector, formal environmental accounting practices remain surprisingly limited, and many material recovery operations continue to be driven primarily by informal, immediate economic incentives rather than by the strategic insights that would be provided by systematic, comprehensive environmental accounting.

The food and beverage sector emerges from the analysis as another area possessing highly significant, largely untapped circular economy potential within Libya. Current food production and processing operations generate substantial, continuous waste streams that could be highly valuable if properly recovered and reused, including massive quantities of organic waste that could be efficiently composted or converted to animal

feed, alongside vast amounts of packaging materials suitable for recycling. However, current operational practices in the vast majority of Libyan food and beverage companies remain strictly linear, characterized by extremely limited waste recovery efforts. The targeted implementation of environmental accounting in this specific sector could dramatically reveal the significant, currently hidden economic value of aggressive waste reduction and material recovery, thereby creating powerful, data-driven financial incentives for the rapid adoption of circular practices.

The construction sector represents a third area of significant potential. Construction generates substantial material waste, including concrete, wood, metals, and other materials that could be recovered and reused. The sector also represents an opportunity for circular economy implementation through design for disassembly and material recovery. However, current construction practices in Libya remain predominantly linear, and environmental accounting is rarely practiced in the sector. Insights gathered from extensive stakeholder interviews reveal highly diverse, sometimes conflicting perspectives regarding the future of environmental accounting and circular economy implementation in Libya. Government officials consistently expressed very strong, vocal support for circular economy principles and clearly recognized the significant potential for environmental accounting to support effective policy implementation and national development goals. However, these same government respondents candidly acknowledged the severe current limitations in institutional capacity for monitoring and enforcement, and expressed valid concerns regarding the potential economic costs of rapidly mandating complex environmental accounting systems across a fragile economy.

Conversely, business leaders expressed more mixed, pragmatic perspectives; while some clearly recognized the potential for environmental accounting to identify valuable cost reduction opportunities, others expressed deep skepticism regarding the immediate costs of implementation, emphasizing that widespread adoption would likely require substantial government incentives or clear, phased regulatory requirements to be considered viable. Environmental organizations expressed strong support for environmental accounting as a tool for improving environmental performance and accountability, though they emphasized the importance of ensuring that environmental accounting systems genuinely improve environmental outcomes rather than merely creating the appearance of environmental responsibility through selective reporting.

5. DISCUSSION

The comprehensive findings of this research clearly indicate that Libya currently faces a highly critical, defining juncture in its long-term economic development trajectory. The traditional, deeply entrenched economic model, overwhelmingly dependent on the extraction and export of oil and gas, has created highly significant, compounding environmental and economic vulnerabilities that threaten the nation's future stability. Severe environmental degradation, manifesting most acutely in critical water scarcity, advancing desertification, and widespread industrial and municipal pollution, poses a direct, existential threat to long-term economic sustainability and public health. Simultaneously, the nation's profound economic vulnerability, starkly reflected in its extreme, structural dependence on hydrocarbon exports and its consequent exposure to the inherent volatility of global energy markets, creates an urgent, undeniable imperative for rapid, sustainable economic diversification.

The circular economy paradigm offers a highly compelling, strategically sound vision for simultaneously addressing both these severe environmental challenges and pressing economic vulnerabilities. By fundamentally redesigning systems to maintain valuable materials and products in continuous use, aggressively minimizing waste generation, and

actively working to regenerate depleted natural systems, the circular economy promises to successfully decouple future economic growth from the destructive extraction of resources and associated environmental degradation. However, this ambitious, transformative vision absolutely cannot be realized without the concurrent development of robust, sophisticated measurement systems that enable organizations to clearly understand the complex relationship between their daily operations and their broader environmental impacts, and that can definitively demonstrate the tangible economic value of adopting circular practices.

Environmental accounting provides precisely these essential, sophisticated measurement systems. By rigorously tracking physical material flows, accurately quantifying hidden environmental costs, and developing clear, actionable indicators of circular economy progress, environmental accounting effectively transforms abstract circular economy principles from noble but vague ideals into concrete, measurable, and manageable corporate objectives. For Libya, the deliberate development and strategic implementation of robust environmental accounting systems that are specifically tailored to support circular economy objectives could catalyze a profound, fundamental transformation in how all resources are managed, valued, and utilized throughout the entire national economy, driving both environmental restoration and economic resilience.

The successful, sustainable implementation of environmental accounting in Libya requires exceptionally careful, nuanced attention to the nation's highly specific institutional, economic, and cultural context. Rather than attempting the likely doomed approach of simply transplanting complex environmental accounting systems developed for highly advanced, post-industrial economies, a more pragmatic framework must strategically build upon existing Libyan institutional structures and current capabilities, while carefully introducing necessary new measurement and reporting practices in a phased, manageable manner. This framework should operate at three interconnected levels to ensure alignment between micro-level actions and macro-level goals.

At the foundational organizational level, the framework provides highly practical, specific tools for individual companies to accurately measure their internal material flows, systematically identify hidden waste reduction opportunities, and meticulously track their progress toward specific circular objectives. The first core component focuses intensely on the systematic, rigorous tracking and analysis of physical material flows throughout organizational operations. Building heavily upon the proven methodology of Material Flow Cost Accounting, this vital component requires organizations to meticulously document the exact physical quantity and financial cost of all materials entering their production systems, the precise quantities and costs of materials successfully incorporated into final products, and, crucially, the specific quantities and costs of materials lost as waste or emissions at every single stage of the production process.

The second critical component of this framework involves sophisticated environmental cost accounting, a process that translates the physical material flows into clear, actionable economic terms. This translation is essential for enabling organizational leadership to fully understand the profound financial implications of their resource consumption patterns and waste generation activities. This component involves the systematic identification and rigorous quantification of all costs associated with environmental impacts, encompassing both the highly visible, direct costs of waste management and pollution control, and the often hidden, indirect costs associated with resource depletion, environmental remediation, and the significant opportunity costs of foregone material

recovery. By making the full, true cost of environmental impacts highly visible to decision-makers, this component clearly demonstrates that aggressive waste reduction and strategic cost reduction are very frequently perfectly aligned business objectives.

The third component of the comprehensive framework focuses on the development and implementation of specific, measurable indicators and metrics designed to track progress toward circular economy objectives. The framework proposes a sophisticated, hierarchical system of indicators operating at multiple levels. The Material Circularity Indicator provides a comprehensive assessment of how restorative the material flows of a product or company are, calculated by measuring the mass of virgin raw materials used, the mass of unrecoverable waste generated, and a utility factor that accounts for the intensity and length of the product's use phase. The Environmental Cost Ratio is a critical financial metric that expresses total environmental costs as a percentage of total operating costs, including both direct environmental expenditures and the hidden costs identified through Material Flow Cost Accounting. The Resource Recovery Rate specifically measures the effectiveness of an organization's end-of-life management strategies, calculated by dividing the total mass of materials successfully recovered, recycled, or reused by the total mass of waste generated by the organization.

Ascending to the sectoral level, the framework enables the aggregate analysis of resource flows and the evaluation of circular economy potential across entire industries and broad economic sectors, facilitating targeted industrial policy. Finally, at the overarching national level, the framework contributes vital data to comprehensive environmental-economic accounting systems that clearly reveal the complex, critical relationship between aggregate national economic activity and broad environmental impacts. The fourth component addresses the absolutely critical need for effective stakeholder communication and transparent reporting of environmental accounting information. The framework emphasizes that the accurate, transparent communication of this information to diverse stakeholders, including investors, customers, regulators, and employees, is absolutely essential for creating powerful organizational incentives for circular economy implementation. The framework proposes a highly structured, tiered reporting approach that deliberately provides different, appropriate levels of detail to different stakeholder groups, ensuring that internal management receives the highly granular data necessary for operational decision-making, while external stakeholders receive the aggregated, verified information necessary to accurately assess overall organizational environmental performance.

A truly critical element for the successful implementation of this framework is the strategic alignment of new environmental accounting practices with existing business incentives and evolving regulatory frameworks. One highly promising, practical approach involves definitively demonstrating the clear business case for environmental accounting through carefully designed pilot implementations in specific organizations where the potential economic benefits are most immediately apparent and quantifiable. Organizations characterized by highly significant waste streams, substantial energy consumption, or high existing environmental costs represent particularly promising, high-impact candidates for these initial pilot implementations. By clearly and unequivocally demonstrating tangible cost reduction opportunities and significant operational efficiency improvements in these pilot cases, the resulting success stories can create powerful, persuasive demonstration effects that naturally encourage much broader, voluntary adoption across other sectors of the economy.

The successful transition to environmental accounting requires a structured, phased approach. Phase One focuses entirely on organizational preparation and foundational

awareness. During this initial period, executive leadership must formally commit to the transition and allocate necessary initial resources. The organization should conduct a comprehensive baseline assessment of its current data collection capabilities, particularly regarding energy consumption, water usage, and raw material inputs. Concurrently, targeted training programs must be initiated to ensure that both financial and operational staff understand the fundamental principles of environmental accounting and the strategic objectives of the circular economy transition.

Phase Two involves the critical design and pilot testing of the measurement systems. Rather than attempting an immediate organization-wide rollout, leadership should select a single, well-understood production line or facility for initial implementation. Within this pilot scope, the organization must clearly define system boundaries and establish rigorous protocols for tracking physical material flows. This phase requires close collaboration between engineering staff, who understand the physical processes, and accounting staff, who must translate these physical flows into financial impacts using Material Flow Cost Accounting principles.

Phase Three centers on full organizational integration and the active utilization of the generated data. Following the successful refinement of the pilot program, the measurement systems are systematically expanded across all organizational operations. Crucially, during this phase, the environmental accounting data must be formally integrated into regular management reporting cycles. Decision-makers must begin actively using this new information to identify specific waste reduction opportunities, evaluate the true cost of environmental impacts, and justify capital investments in circular economy initiatives such as recycling infrastructure or product redesign.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

To effectively operationalize the findings of this research and facilitate the transition towards a circular economy supported by robust environmental accounting, a series of targeted, strategic recommendations must be implemented by key stakeholders. The Libyan government must take immediate, decisive action to establish comprehensive national environmental accounting standards that clearly specify practical requirements for environmental accounting and transparent reporting. These crucial standards must be developed through extensive, collaborative consultation with leading business organizations, professional accounting bodies, and recognized environmental experts to ensure they are both practically feasible and highly relevant to the Libyan context. Furthermore, these standards should be strategically phased in over time, beginning initially with the largest, most capable organizations and progressively extending to smaller enterprises as national technical capacity gradually develops and matures.

In parallel with standard-setting, the government must actively work to seamlessly integrate these new environmental accounting requirements into all existing regulatory frameworks that currently govern environmental management, waste disposal, and natural resource extraction. This critical integration will create powerful, unavoidable regulatory drivers for the widespread adoption of environmental accounting, while simultaneously enabling government environmental agencies to utilize high-quality, standardized environmental accounting data for far more effective policy monitoring, environmental enforcement, and national economic planning. To support this regulatory push, the government must concurrently establish well-funded, highly accessible support programs, including targeted technical assistance initiatives, comprehensive professional training programs, and specific financing mechanisms designed to significantly reduce the initial financial and technical barriers to adoption for Libyan businesses.

Business organizations operating within Libya must proactively conduct thorough, honest internal assessments of their current environmental accounting capabilities and systematically identify specific, actionable opportunities for immediate enhancement. These critical internal assessments should rigorously evaluate all current practices related to material flow tracking, the identification of environmental costs, and existing environmental reporting mechanisms, while clearly identifying the specific internal barriers that currently hinder the implementation of more sophisticated environmental accounting systems. Following these assessments, organizations should actively seek to implement targeted pilot environmental accounting projects, specifically focusing their initial efforts on operational areas where enhanced accounting is most likely to reveal significant, immediate cost reduction opportunities or where their current environmental impacts are known to be most severe.

Crucially, organizational leadership must actively work to deeply integrate the insights generated by environmental accounting information into their core strategic planning processes, future product design decisions, ongoing process improvement initiatives, and all major capital investment decisions. This deep, systemic integration is absolutely vital to ensure that environmental accounting information is actually used to intelligently guide fundamental organizational decisions and drive genuine improvements in sustainability, rather than merely serving as a superficial compliance exercise or a public relations reporting function. To enable this integration, organizations must be willing to view the necessary investments in modern measurement infrastructure and technical staff capacity not as burdensome operational costs, but rather as highly strategic, essential investments in significantly improved corporate decision-making and long-term operational efficiency.

Academic institutions and professional accounting organizations within Libya bear a significant responsibility to rapidly develop and deploy comprehensive educational programs focused specifically on modern environmental accounting methodologies and circular economy principles. These vital educational programs must be deeply integrated into all standard university accounting and business administration curricula, ensuring the next generation of professionals is fully equipped, while simultaneously being offered as rigorous, accessible professional development programs for currently practicing accountants and corporate managers. Furthermore, academic researchers must be actively encouraged and funded to conduct extensive, highly applied research focusing specifically on the unique challenges and opportunities of environmental accounting implementation within the specific context of the Libyan economy, thereby ensuring that future guidance and practical tools are perfectly tailored to local realities.

Finally, international development organizations and global environmental agencies must play a highly active, supportive role by providing targeted technical assistance and dedicated financing specifically designed to support the rapid implementation of environmental accounting systems in Libya. This vital international support should be carefully tailored to perfectly match the specific, identified needs and current absorptive capacities of Libyan institutions. International organizations should actively facilitate the rapid, effective transfer of proven environmental accounting technologies, sophisticated software systems, and established best practice methodologies from developed economies to Libya, while providing the crucial, ongoing support necessary to successfully adapt these advanced tools to the unique requirements of the local economic and institutional environment.

7. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive research has thoroughly explored the profoundly pivotal role that sophisticated environmental accounting systems must play in successfully operationalizing circular economy principles and ultimately achieving genuine, long-term sustainable development within Libya. The extensive findings clearly reveal that while formal environmental accounting practices currently remain underdeveloped across the nation, significant opportunities exist for their strategic implementation. The proposed environmental accounting framework, specifically tailored to Libya's institutional constraints and economic realities, provides a practical roadmap for transformation. By integrating material flow tracking, environmental cost quantification, circular economy indicators, and transparent stakeholder reporting, this framework enables Libyan organizations to simultaneously advance economic objectives while reducing environmental footprint. The urgent transition to a circular economy represents both an environmental imperative and an economic opportunity for Libya's future prosperity.

REFERENCES

[1] Aranda-Usón, A., Portillo-Tarragona, P., Marín-Vinuesa, L. M., & Scarpellini, S. (2020). The progressive adoption of a circular economy by businesses for cleaner production: An approach from a regional study in Spain. Journal of Cleaner Production, 247, 119648.

[2] D'Amore, G., Beynon, M., Bracci, F., & Massi, M. (2025). Environmental accounting and sustainability accounting: Lexical or substantial difference? Sustainable Development, 33(2), 1-15.

[3] Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2024). Annual Impact Report 2024: Accelerating the Transition to a Circular Economy. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

[4] Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2025). The Global Commitment 2025 Progress Report. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

[5] Hemidat, S., Achouri, O., El Fels, L., Elagroudy, S., Hafidi, M., & Bennani, S. (2022). Solid waste management in the context of a circular economy in the MENA region. Sustainability, 14(1), 480.

[6] International Organization for Standardization. (2017). ISO 14052:2017 - Material flow cost accounting. ISO.

[7] Kitada, H., Nakajima, K., Nagasaka, T., & Yoshida, H. (2022). Management practice of material flow cost accounting and its impact on environmental and economic performance. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 26(3), 892-905.

[8] Kuba-Khoury, V., Bianchi, M., & Salvi, A. (2025). Integrated measurement of accountability in a circular economy: Examining circular reporting practices and their determinants. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 32(1), 1-18.

[9] Maafa, Z., Al-Sharif, A., & Hassan, M. (2026). Mapping the path for municipal solid waste management in Libya: A systematic review towards a circular economy. International Journal of Sustainable Development and Governance, 1(1), 1-25.

[10] Mohammed, N., Omran, N., & Al-Mansouri, S. (2025). Sustainability, the circular economy, and digitalization in Libyan organizations. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 45(3), 234-256.

[11] Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). Encouraging Economic Diversification in Libya Through Public-Private Dialogues. OECD Publishing.

[12] Portillo-Tarragona, P., Aranda-Usón, A., Scarpellini, S., & Marín-Vinuesa, L. M. (2025). Environmental management accounting for circular economy: A framework for measuring eco-innovation in circular projects. Sustainability, 17(6), 2392.

[13] Scarpellini, S., Marín-Vinuesa, L. M., Portillo-Tarragona, P., & Aranda-Usón, A. (2020). Dynamic capabilities and environmental accounting for the circular economy in businesses. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 11(7), 1129-1158.
[14] Stasiuk-Piekarska, A., Lewandowski, M., & Krawczyk, K. (2024). The usefulness of cleaner production projects as an instrument for implementing the European Green Deal in SMEs. Sustainability, 16(12), 4951.
[15] United Nations. (2012). System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA): Central Framework. UN Statistical Commission.
[16] United Nations Development Programme. (2025). Driving Progress for a Sustainable Libya: Annual Report 2025. UNDP Libya.
[17] United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). Guidelines for Measuring Circular Economy. UNEP.
[18] Vysochan, V. H., & Olha, A. Y. (2024). Accounting in the context of a circular economy for sustainable development: A systematic network study. Journal of Sustainability Research, 6(2), 1-22.